



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

edw  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,364	11/19/2003	Apin Chang	SIS.0053P1US (PE-25432-AM)	6697
21906	7590	06/30/2005	EXAMINER	
TROP PRUNER & HU, PC 8554 KATY FREEWAY SUITE 100 HOUSTON, TX 77024			HOLLOWAY III, EDWIN C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2635	

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/717,364	CHANG, APIN
Examiner	Art Unit	
Edwin C. Holloway, III	2635	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### **Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 November 2003.

2a)  This action is FINAL.                    2b)  This action is non-final.

3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## **Disposition of Claims**

4)  Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.  
4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

6)  Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.

7)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

8)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)  The drawing(s) filed on 11-19-03 is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a)  All    b)  Some \* c)  None of:  
1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  
2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  
3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_

4)  Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_ .

5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6)  Other: \_\_\_\_ .

***EXAMINER'S RESPONSE***

1. In response to the application filed 11-19-03, the application has been examined. The examiner has considered the presentation of claims in view of the disclosure and the present state of the prior art. And it is the examiner's opinion that the claims are unpatentable for the reasons set forth in this Office action:

***Priority***

2. A reference to the prior application as the first sentence(s) of the specification of this application is acknowledged, but the status of nonprovisional parent application(s) (whether patented or abandoned) should also be included. If a parent application has become a patent, the expression "now Patent No. \_\_\_\_\_" should follow the filing date of the parent application. If a parent application has become abandoned, the expression "now abandoned" should follow the filing date of the parent application.

3. Applicant is reminded that in order for a patent issuing on the instant application to obtain the benefit of priority based on priority papers filed in parent Application No. 09/851924 under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) or (f), a claim for such foreign priority must be timely made in this application. To satisfy the requirement of 37 CFR 1.55(a)(2) for a certified copy of the

foreign application, applicant may simply identify the application containing the certified copy.

**Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 & 103**

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claim 1-2, 6-8 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kostusiak (US 5774051) in combination with Brinkmeyer (US 5940007).

Regarding claims 1 and 7, Kostusiak disclose a remote controller with casing or housing 12, control circuit including processor or microcontroller, transmit circuit 20, function key set 14/16 where the first key operated selects a function on function display unit 22-28 and the second key operated becomes the execution key to enable the processor to transmit the selected command. See figs. 1-3 and col. 3 lines 19-62.

Regarding claims 2 and 8, display 22-28 includes light emitting diodes adjacent to identifying markers included with appropriate surfaces 54. These light emitting diodes are lamps

corresponding to page 6 lines 12 of applicant's disclosure stating that the lamps are preferably light emitting diodes. Regarding claims 6 and 12, piezoelectric horn 38 provides audible output. Kostusiaak differs from the claims by not specifying a second transmit key that is user programmable.

Brinkmeyer discloses a remote controller with icon display 8/16 and preset code keys 5-6 and user programmable transmit key 7 in addition to menu key 10 and select key 11 in fig. 1 and col. 4 lines 40-65. A programming or learn mode is also provided.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included the user programmable transmit key and programming mode of Brinkmeyer in the remote controller of Kostusiaak to provide a simple manner to select a transmit function in addition to sequencing through a list or menu of functions.

6. Claim 3-5 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kostusiaak (US 5774051) in combination with Brinkmeyer (US 5940007) as applied above and further in view of Van Ryzin (US 6127941).

Van Ryzin discloses an analogous art remote controller with graphical user interface (GUI) including liquid crystal display (LCD) and selection keys to scroll through a menu to move a

cursor to select an icon corresponding to a desired function.

Fig. 1a shows a box around a selection that corresponds to highlighting. See col. 3 lines 36-54.

Regarding claims 3-5 and 9-11, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included in the combination applied above the GUI with LCD and cursor or highlight of icons as disclosed in Van Ryzin to provide a user friendly interface similar to that used in a typical computer.

#### **Conclusion**

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Drori (US 4890108), Umemoto (US 5479148), Flick (US 5739747), Furukawa (US 6243022B1), Chang (US 20020145553) and Gunsch (US 20030117261) disclose multi channel remote control transmitters.

#### **CONTACT INFORMATION**

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact an Electronic Business Center (EBC) representatives at 703-305-3028 or toll free at 866-217-9197 between the hours of 6 a.m. and midnight Monday through Friday EST, or by e-mail at [ebc@uspto.gov](mailto:ebc@uspto.gov). The Patent EBC is a complete customer service center that supports all Patent e-business products and service applications.

Additional information is available on the Patent EBC Web site at <http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html>.

Any inquiry of a general nature should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 receptionist at (571) 272-2600.

Prior to July 15, 2005, facsimile submissions may be sent via central fax number (703) 872-9306 to customer service for entry by technical support staff. Questions related to the operation of the facsimile system should be directed to the Electronic Business Center at (866) 217-9197. On July 15, 2005, the Central FAX Number will change to 571-273-8300. This new Central FAX Number is the result of relocating the Central FAX server to the Office's Alexandria, Virginia campus.

Most facsimile-transmitted patent application related correspondence is required to be sent to the Central FAX Number. To give customers time to adjust to the new Central FAX Number, faxes sent to the old number (703-872-9306) will be routed to the new number until September 15, 2005. After September 15, 2005, the old number will no longer be in service and 571-273-8300 will be the only facsimile number recognized for "centralized delivery".

**CENTRALIZED DELIVERY POLICY:** For patent related correspondence, hand carry deliveries must be made to the Customer Service Window (now located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314), and facsimile transmissions must be sent to the Central FAX number, unless an exception applies. For example, if the examiner has rejected claims in a regular U.S. patent application, and the reply to the examiner's Office action is desired to be transmitted by facsimile rather than mailed, the reply must be sent to the Central FAX Number. Inquiries concerning only hours and location of the Customer Window may be directed to OIPE Customer Service at (703) 308-1202.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edwin C. Holloway, III whose telephone number is (571) 272-3058. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:00). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Horabik can be reached on (571) 272-3068.

EH  
6/26/05

  
EDWIN C. HOLLOWAY, III  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
ART UNIT 2635